

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/976,472	SIMS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Janet L. Andres	1646	

All Participants:

Status of Application: 120

(1) Janet L. Andres.

(3) _____.

(2) Ann Perkins.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2004

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,2,7-9, 24, 26

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that the claims would be amended to require that DNA and host cells be isolated in order to comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101. It was further agreed that claim 24 would be amended to read "SEQ ID NO: 2 or a truncated version thereof" for clarity. It was additionally agreed that the first line of the specification would be amended to indicate that the application is a continuation-in-part of the PCT and claims priority to the provisional applications. It was agreed that these changes would be made by Examiner's Amendment..